**Appendix 4 - Community Council Boundary Proposals 2024-2027**

**Bucksburn and Newhills and Dyce and Stoneywood – Map 3**

The current housing development at Rowett South is split between two Community Council boundaries. There has been a request that the Bucksburn and Newhills boundary be extended to take in the whole Rowett South development as well as the new development at Craibstone. This would extend the boundary to follow the A96 to the Craibstone roundabout, following the A96 and AWPR route to the junction with the A90 and back towards the original boundary.

Response from both Community Councils still to be provided.

**Rosehill and Stockethill, Woodside and Hilton, Rosemount and Mile End and George Street – Map 4**

There are a few potential boundary changes which would affect these Community Councils.

Transfer of boundary from Rosehill and Stockethill to Woodside and Hilton

There has been a request to extend the boundary down the length of Clifton Road to the Northern Hotel (recently closed) and then along Great Northern Road to meet the original boundary at Leslie Road (off the roundabout).

Looking at the current boundary, it would be more effective to extend the boundary further, down to Powis Terrace and along the railway line to join the original boundary.

Woodside and Hilton Community Council have a relationship with Kittybrewster Primary School and the Parent Council. The after school club is provided at Woodside Fountain Centre. The Community Council believe that the small section is a more natural boundary for Woodside than with the current Community Council.

Rosehill and Stockethill Community Council have stated that a large proportion of children from within their existing boundary attend Kittybrewster Primary School.

Discussions with residents in the area and with both Community Councils to continue during public consultation.

Transfer of boundary from Rosehill and Stockethill to George Street

To extend George Street’s boundary to Belmont Road. This is to tidy up the small area to the north of the current George Street boundary.

Rosehill and Stockethill Community Council see no benefit in moving the boundary.

Response from George Street Community Council still to be provided.

Discussions with both Community Councils to continue during public consultation.

Transfer of boundary from Rosehill and Stockethill to Rosemount and Mile End

As part of this review, a larger area to match the ACC Ward boundary was considered however both Community Councils agreed that this was not a viable option.

Extend Rosemount and Mile End boundary along Ashgrove Road taking in Belmont Gardens and Cedar Court and joining the new boundary for Woodside and Hilton.

In previous discussions with some residents in this area, they requested that a review of the boundary take place as they did not feel that they were part of the wider community within the Rosehill and Stockethill area.

More recently, information from residents would suggest that they felt more aligned to the current boundary of Rosehill and Stockethill.

Rosehill and Stockethill Community Council, suggested that the issue may relate to the name of the Community Council rather than the physical boundary and were going to provide additional feedback during the public consultation.

Rosemount and Mile End Community Council have indicated that they would be supportive of the boundary change.

Discussions with residents and both Community Councils to continue during public consultation.

**Kincorth and Leggart, Nigg and Torry – Map 5 and Map 6**

Nigg Community Council although established have not met for some time for a variety of reasons. It is a fairly small area with approximately 2000 of a population. There is not a venue in the area to be able to meet and the children from that area attend Primary Schools within Kincorth and Lochside Academy which serves pupils from Torry, Kincorth, Nigg and Cove.

Kincorth and Leggart have had some recruitment challenges which has seen their membership number drop below the minimum required for a short period of time. Residents who have attended Kincorth and Leggart are within the Nigg boundary and have indicated that with their children attending a Kincorth School that they have a closer relationship to the Kincorth area.

Nigg and Kincorth and Leggart - Map 5

Merge all of the current Nigg boundary with Kincorth and Leggart providing a larger Community Council area.

This would provide the opportunity for a community voice covering the whole area and ensuring that membership could be maintained in a more effective manner.

A new name would need to be agreed.

Initial discussions with Kincorth and Leggart Community Council indicate that they would support the proposal for the larger boundary to include Nigg. They did have concerns on the membership numbers and whether the new area would be sustainable. Further comments to be provided during the consultation process.

There has been limited discussion with residents in Nigg on these proposals which would be a high priority during the first stage of public consultation.

Torry

As part of this review, a larger area to match the ACC Ward boundary was considered however both Cove and Altens and Torry Community Councils have agreed that this was not a viable option.

As part of the review for Kincorth/Leggart and Nigg, there is an area to the left of Wellington road that has been considered as moving from the Torry boundary.

Torry Community Council are of the view that the boundary should not be changed.

There has been limited discussion with residents in Torry on these proposals which would be a high priority during the first stage of public consultation.